PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IP/P7300/WOD	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/003192	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 July 2004 (23.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28 July 2003 (28.07.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant QINETIQ LIMITED				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 10 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following iten	ns:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Вох №. П	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opiapplicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	n		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement unde applicability; citations an	r Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial d explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inte	rnational application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	he international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
_	Date of issuance of this report 30 January 2006 (30.01.2006)				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Authorized officer Nora Lindner		
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 65		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

From the

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To:			7	REC'D 11 N	MIL 3040 73 # 3 mm 1 mm	
10.			l wo	PCT-	PCT	
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF NAL SEARCHING , PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	THE AUTHORIT
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second	sheet)
see	elicant's or agent's file of form PCT/ISA/2	220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
PC	mational application T/GB2004/00319	92	International filing date (23.07.2004		Priority date (day/month/ye 28.07.2003	ar)
GU	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G02B6/42, G02B6/12 Applicant					
	IETIQ LIMITED					
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	sten and industrial and!	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention	ard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to nov applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				ovelty, inventive step or i	ndustrial	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application		
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON		•	•	
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority					
	If this opinion is, a submit to the IPE months from the a whichever expires	as provided abov A a written reply date of mailing of s later.	e, considered to be a w together, where approp Form PCT/ISA/220 or t	ritten opinion of the IP riate, with amendment pefore the expiration of	EA, the applicant is invite s, before the expiration of 22 months from the prior	d to f three ity date,
	For further option	s, see Form PCT	/ISA/220.			
3.	For further details					
-vame	and mailing address	of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		uches Palane

)))

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Cohen, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2265



International application No. PCT/GB2004/003192

-	- Ro	x No. I	Posic of the said to
_			Basis of the opinion
1.	Withe	th regar langua	d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
			pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following gee , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Pules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. t	ype of n	naterial:
	(□ as	equence listing
	[□ tab	e(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	ormat of	material:
	C	□ in w	ritten format
		□ in c	omputer readable form
	c. tii	me of fil	ing/furnishing:
		□ con	tained in the international application as filed.
		☐ filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.
			ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		copies	ion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional c	omments:

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003192

B	Box No. II Priority				
	OX 110.	rnonty			
1. 🛭	☐ The following document has not been furnished:				
	×	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).			
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
	Cons neve	equently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has the less been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2. 🗆	This o	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim een found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3. Ac	3. Additional observations, if necessary:				

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003192

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, Inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
Ø	claims Nos. 44-46			
be	because:			
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 44-46 are so unclear that no meaningful oplnion could be formed (specify):			
	see separate sheet			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further d	etaik	S	

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003192

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-22,25,26,29-36,38,40

No: Claims 23,24,27,28,37,39,41-43

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-43

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-43

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

Claims 44-46 have been formulated merely as general references to the application and one or more figures and, as such, fail to meet the clarity requirements of Article 6 PCT to such an extent that it is not possible to establish an opinion thereon regarding novelty and inventive step.

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: WO 99/42879 A (INTEGRATED OPTICAL COMPONENTS; WEEKS ALAN (GB); MURPHY MICHAEL MATTHE) 26 August 1999 (1999-08-26)
 - D2: JENKINS R M ET AL: "Hollow waveguide integrated optic subsystem for a 10.6 [mu]m range-Doppler imaging lidar" PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPIE THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR OPTICAL ENGINEERING SPIE-INT. SOC. OPT. ENG USA, vol. 4034, 24 April 2000 (2000-04-24), pages 108-113, XP002299729 ISSN: 0277-786X
 - D3: US 5 525 190 A (HENKES JOHN L ET AL) 11 June 1996 (1996-06-11)
 - D4: KRIPPNER PETER ET AL: "Microspectrometer system for the near infrared wavelength range based on the LIGA technology" PROC SPIE INT SOC OPT ENG; PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR OPTICAL ENGINEERING 2000 SOCIETY OF PHOTO-OPTICAL INSTRUMENTATION ENGINEERS, BELLINGHAM, WA, USA, vol. 3912, 26 January 2000 (2000-01-26), 27 January 2000 (2000-01-27) pages 141-149, XP002299861

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- 2.1.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) a transmitter apparatus (abstract)

comprising a laser (22), modulation means (32) and output means (34).

- 2.1.2 The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the apparatus comprises hollow core optical waveguides formed in a substrate which, in use, guide radiation from the one or more lasers to the modulation means and from the modulation means to the output means.
- 2.1.3 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the difficulty of actively aligning the laser to the modulator and the modulator to the output means via the free-space optics and fibre stubs disclosed in D1, and the associated variability of coupling loss due to repeatability errors.
- 2.1.4 In view of D2 the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: D2 discloses (see page 113, para. 2) the monolithic integration of lasers and transceiver components in a single substrate, in which the lasers and components are interconnected by hollow waveguides formed in the substrate, in order to solve the same problem of otherwise relatively uncontrolled mutual alignment.
- 2.1.5 Therefore the features disclosed in D1 and D2 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 1 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.2 It is additionally considered that the skilled person would also arrive at the subject-matter of claim 1 by combining the disclosures of D1 and D3, without the exercise of inventive skill.

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 22

3.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 22 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. Document D3 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A transmitter apparatus comprising a light source (col. 6, lines 66-67) and output means for coupling the radiation into an optical fibre (58; col. 2, lines 35-38),

comprising hollow core waveguides formed in a substrate which, in use, guide radiation from the optical source to the optical fibre (col. 11, lines 25-41; Figs. 7, 8, 18).

The subject-matter of claim 22 therefore differs from the disclosure of D3 in that the light source is a laser. However, the selection of a laser as the light source in an optical transmitter would be an obvious design possibility for the skilled person, according to circumstances, in order to meet the performance requirements of the device.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 23

4.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 23 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D4 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A receiver apparatus comprising detectors (abstract) and an optical fibre attachment means arranged to receive an optical fibre (Fig. 2), in which apparatus the radiation is guided from the optical fibre to the detectors by a hollow core optical waveguide formed in a substrate (abstract; page 143; Fig. 2).

5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-21, 24-43

Dependent claims 2-21, 24-43 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT), for the following reasons.

Claims 2-6, 9, 10, 14, 16-19 and 21

The additional features are disclosed in D1 and/or D2. The subject-matter of the above claims therefore does not involve and inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Claims 24, 27 and 28

The additional features are disclosed in D4. The subject-matter of the above claims is therefore not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

Claims 33 and 34

The additional features are implicitly disclosed in D3. It would be obvious to the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003192

skilled person to combine transmitter and receiver on a common substrate (claim 34) in order to solve the problem of minimising total apparatus footprint area. The subject-matter of said claims therefore does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Claims 7, 8, 11-13, 15, 20, 25, 26 and 29-32

The additional features represent variations in design that are routine in the field of integrated and fibre optic transmitters which would be obvious for the skilled person to consider incorporating according to circumstances in order to solve the problems posed.